

Invariance of the parity conjecture for p -Selmer groups of elliptic curves in a D_{2p^n} -extension

Thomas de La Rochefoucauld

February 2, 2010

Abstract

In section 2, we show a p -parity result in a D_{2p^n} -extension of number fields L/K ($p \geq 5$) for the twist $1 \oplus \eta \oplus \tau$:

$$W(E/K, 1 \oplus \eta \oplus \tau) = (-1)^{\langle 1 \oplus \eta \oplus \tau, X_p(E/L) \rangle},$$

where E is an elliptic curve over K , η and τ are respectively the quadratic character and an irreducible representation of degree 2 of $\text{Gal}(L/K) = D_{2p^n}$, and $X_p(E/L)$ is the p -Selmer group. The main novelty is that we use a congruence result between ε_0 -factors (due to Deligne) for the determination of local root numbers in bad cases (places of additive reduction above 2 and 3). We also give applications to the p -parity conjecture (using the machinery of the Dokchitser brothers).

1 The conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer and the parity conjecture

Let K be a number field and E an elliptic curve defined over K . Denote by K_v the completion of K at a place v .

We recall a few definitions:

Definition 1.1 (*Tate Module*):

The l -adic Tate module of E is the inverse limit of the system of multiplication by l maps $E[l^{n+1}] \rightarrow E[l^n]$, where $E[m]$ denotes the kernel of multiplication by m on E .

Set $T_l(E) = \varprojlim E[l^n]$, $V_l(E) = \mathbb{Q}_l \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} T_l(E)$ and:

$$\sigma'_{E/K_v, l} : \text{Gal}(\overline{K}_v/K_v) \rightarrow GL(V_l(E)^*).$$

Definition 1.2 Fix an embedding, $\iota : \mathbb{Q}_l \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$; we can then associate to $\sigma'_{E/K_v, l}$ a complex representation $\sigma'_{E/K_v, l, \iota}$ of the Weil-Deligne group (see [9] §13).

One can show that the isomorphism class of $\sigma'_{E/K_v} := \sigma'_{E/K_v, l, \iota}$ is independent of the choice of l and ι (see [9] §13, §14, §15).

Denote by $L(E/K, s)$ the global L -function, product of local L -functions:

$$L(E/K, s) = \prod_{v \text{ finite}} L(E/K_v, s) \left(= \prod_{v \text{ finite}} L(\sigma'_{E/K_v}, s) \right),$$

defined for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \frac{3}{2}$ (see [9] §17 for the correspondence between the classical definition of $L(E/K_v, s)$ and the one using σ'_{E/K_v}) and by

$$\Lambda(E/K, s) = A(E/K)^{s/2} L(E/K, s) (2(2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s))^{[K:\mathbb{Q}]},$$

the "complete" L -function.

Recall the following classical conjectures:

Conjecture 1.3 (*Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer: BSD*):
 $\operatorname{ord}_{s=1} \Lambda(E/K, s) = \operatorname{rk}(E/K)$.

Conjecture 1.4 (*Functional equation of $\Lambda : FE$*):
 $L(E/K, s)$ has a holomorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$ and there is a number $W(E/K) = \prod_v W(E/K_v) \in \{\pm 1\}$ such that:

$$\Lambda(E/K, s) = W(E/K) \Lambda(E/K, 2 - s)$$

(see [9] §12 and §19 for the definition of $W(E/K_v) := W(\sigma'_{E/K_v})$ and [9] §21 p.157 for the functional equation of Λ).

This conjecture is known in a few cases:

- For elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ thanks to modularity results on elliptic curves due to Wiles, Taylor, Breuil, Diamond and Conrad
- For elliptic curves over a totally real field K , we only know a meromorphic continuation and the functional equation of Λ thanks to a potential modularity result of Wintenberger (see [16]) together with an argument of Taylor.

In general, conjecture 1.4 is not known.

The conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer implies the following weaker conjecture:

Conjecture 1.5 (*BSD (mod 2)*):
 $\operatorname{rk}(E/K) \equiv \operatorname{ord}_{s=1} \Lambda(E/K, s) \pmod{2}$.

Combining it with the conjectural functional equation we get:

Conjecture 1.6 (*Parity conjecture*):
 $(-1)^{\operatorname{rk}(E/K)} = W(E/K)$.

Tim and Vladimir Dokchitser showed that this conjecture is true assuming that the 6^∞ -part of the Tate-Shafarevich group of E over $K(E[2])$ is finite (see [5] Th 7.1 p.20).

Definition 1.7 *Selmer group:*

Let $X_p(E/K) := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(S(E/K, p^\infty), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$,
where $S(E/K, p^\infty) := \varinjlim_n S(E/K, p^n)$ is the p^∞ -Selmer group, sitting in an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow E(K) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow S(E/K, p^\infty) \longrightarrow \text{III}_{E/K}[p^\infty] \longrightarrow 0$$

If we let $\text{rk}_p(E/K) := \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} X_p(E/K) = \text{rk}(E/K) + \text{cork}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{III}_{E/K}[p^\infty]$, a more accessible form of the conjecture 1.6 is the following:

Conjecture 1.8 (*p-parity conjecture*)

$$(-1)^{\text{rk}_p(E/K)} = W(E/K).$$

If L/K is a finite Galois extension and τ is a self-dual $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ -representation of $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ then there is an equivariant form of the conjecture 1.8:

Conjecture 1.9 (*p-parity conjecture for (self-dual) twists*)

$$(-1)^{\langle \tau, X_p(E/K) \rangle} = W(E/K, \tau), \text{ where } W(E/K, \tau) = \prod_v W(\sigma'_{E/K_v} \otimes \text{Res}_{D_v} \tau)$$

(where $D_v \subset \text{Gal}(L/K)$ is the decomposition group at v).

It is this last conjecture in a particular setting that will interest us for the rest of the paper.

2 Invariance of the parity conjecture in a D_{2p^n} -extension

2.1 Statement of the main theorem and applications to the p -parity conjecture

Let K be a number field, E/K an elliptic curve and L/K a finite Galois extension such that $\text{Gal}(L/K) \simeq D_{2p^n}$, with $p \geq 5$ a prime number.

D_{2p^n} admits the following irreducible representations over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$:

- 1 the trivial representation
- η the quadratic character
- $\frac{p^n-1}{2}$ irreducible representations of degree 2; they are of the form,

$$I(\chi) := \text{Ind}_{C_{p^n}}^{D_{2p^n}}(\chi) = I(\chi^{-1}),$$

where χ is a non-trivial character of C_{p^n} ($I(1) = 1 \oplus \eta$ is reducible).

See for example [12] for the description of irreducible representations of D_{2p^n} .

Let $\tau = I(\chi)$ be such an irreducible representation of degree 2.

Theorem 2.1 *With the notation above and $p \geq 5$, we have the following equality:*

$$\frac{W(E/K, \tau)}{W(E/K, 1 \oplus \eta)} = \frac{(-1)^{\langle \tau, X_p(E/L) \rangle}}{(-1)^{\langle 1 \oplus \eta, X_p(E/L) \rangle}}$$

In other words, the p -parity conjecture for E/K tensored by $1 \oplus \eta \oplus \tau$ holds:

$$W(E/K, 1 \oplus \eta \oplus \tau) = (-1)^{\langle 1 \oplus \eta \oplus \tau, X_p(E/L) \rangle}$$

Remark 2.2 *The Dokchitser brothers have shown that this equality holds in two different cases:*

- *In the case when p is any prime number but the elliptic curve E/K has a cyclic decomposition group in all additive places above 2 and 3 (see [4] Th.4.2 (1) p.43).*
- *In the case when $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ (without any additional assumption) using a strong global p -parity result over totally real fields (see [5] Prop.6.12 p.18).*

In particular, the statement of Thm.2.1 also holds for $p = 3$. Furthermore, this case can be proved without using the "painful calculation" (of [4] p.30) in the case of additive reduction (see the appendix).

Here we prove the equality for all $p \geq 5$ (without any additional assumption).

Corollary 2.3

$$\frac{W(E/K, I(\chi))}{(-1)^{\langle I(\chi), X_p(E/L) \rangle}} \text{ does not depend on } \chi : C_{p^n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*.$$

Theorem 2.1 is equivalent to the fact that Hypothesis 4.1 of [4] holds for any elliptic curve and any $p > 3$ (using a result of the Dokchitser brothers it is also true for $p = 3$, see Remark 2.2 above). Now using the machinery of the Dokchitser brothers (see Th.4.3 and Th.4.5 in [4]) we have the following theorems:

Theorem 2.4 *Let K be a number field, $p \geq 3$, and E/K an elliptic curve. Suppose F is a p -extension of a Galois extension M/K , Galois over K . If the p -parity conjecture*

$$(-1)^{\text{rk}_p E/L} = W(E/L)$$

holds for all subfields $K \subset L \subset M$, then it holds for all subfields $K \subset L \subset F$.

Theorem 2.5 *Let K be a number field, $p \geq 3$, E/K an elliptic curve and F/K a Galois extension. Assume that the p -Sylow subgroup P of $G = \text{Gal}(F/K)$ is normal and G/P is abelian. If the p -parity conjecture holds for E over K and its quadratic extensions in F , then it holds for all twists of E by orthogonal representations of G .*

2.2 Reduction to the case of a D_{2p} -extension

Here we reduce the demonstration of Theorem 2.1 by an induction argument together with the Galois invariance of root numbers due to Rohrlich (see [11] Theorem 2), to the following statement:

Proposition 2.6 *It is sufficient to prove Theorem 2.1 in the case when $n = 1$ (i.e. $\text{Gal}(L/K) \simeq D_{2p}$).*

Proof. Suppose Theorem 2.1 is true for $n = N - 1$. We will show that theorem is true for $n = N$.

Consider L/K a finite Galois extension such that $\text{Gal}(L/K) \simeq D_{2p^N}$ and τ an irreducible representation of degree 2 of D_{2p^N} .

- If χ is not injective, then the statement is known by the induction hypothesis.
- If χ is injective:

Let $\sigma = \text{res}(I(\chi)) := \text{res}_{D_{2p^{N-1}}}^{D_{2p^N}}(I(\chi))$.

Then $\sigma = I(\chi')$, where $\chi' := \chi|_{C_{p^{N-1}}} : C_{p^{N-1}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is injective.

We have: $\text{Ind}_{D_{2p^{N-1}}}^{D_{2p^N}}(\sigma) = \bigoplus_{\chi_0} I(\chi_0)$, where the sum is taken over the χ_0 such

that $\chi_0|_{C_{p^{N-1}}} = \chi|_{C_{p^{N-1}}}$.

For each such χ_0 there is an element of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ sending χ into χ_0 and $I(\chi)$ into $I(\chi_0)$.

By inductivity of root numbers in Galois extension:

$$W(E/K, \sigma) = W(E/K, \text{Ind}_{D_{2p^{N-1}}}^{D_{2p^N}}(\sigma)).$$

By Galois invariance of root numbers:

$$W(E/K, I(\chi')) = W(E/K, I(\chi_0)), \forall \chi_0 \text{ such that } \chi_0|_{C_{p^{N-1}}} = \chi|_{C_{p^{N-1}}}.$$

So $W(E/K, \sigma) = W(E/K, \text{Ind}_{D_{2p^{N-1}}}^{D_{2p^N}}(\sigma)) = W(E/K, \tau)^p = W(E/K, \tau)$.

On the other hand,

$$\langle \sigma, X_p(E/L) \rangle = \left\langle \text{Ind}_{D_{2p^{N-1}}}^{D_{2p^N}}(\sigma), X_p(E/L) \right\rangle = p \cdot \langle \tau, X_p(E/L) \rangle,$$

because $X_p(E/L)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -representation.

So $(-1)^{\langle 1 \oplus \eta \oplus \sigma, X_p(E/L) \rangle} = (-1)^{\langle 1 \oplus \eta \oplus \tau, X_p(E/L) \rangle}$.

By the induction hypothesis, $(-1)^{\langle 1 \oplus \eta \oplus \sigma, X_p(E/L) \rangle} = W(E/K, \sigma)$. As a result, $W(E/K, 1 \oplus \eta \oplus \tau) = (-1)^{\langle 1 \oplus \eta \oplus \tau, X_p(E/L) \rangle}$. ■

2.3 The case of a D_{2p} -extension

We first restate Theorem 2.1 in the case of a D_{2p} -extension.

Let K be a number field, E/K an elliptic curve and L/K a Galois extension such that $\text{Gal}(L/K) \simeq D_{2p} \simeq C_p \rtimes C_2$, with $p \geq 5$ a prime number.

Recall the irreducible representations of D_{2p} over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$:

- 1 the trivial representation

- η the quadratic character
- $I(\chi)$ irreducible representations of degree 2, where χ is a non-trivial character of C_p .

Theorem 2.7 *With the notation above and $p \geq 5$, we have the following equality:*

$$\frac{W(E/K, \tau)}{W(E/K, 1 \oplus \eta)} = \frac{(-1)^{\langle \tau, X_p(E/L) \rangle}}{(-1)^{\langle 1 \oplus \eta, X_p(E/L) \rangle}}.$$

In other words, the p -parity conjecture for E/K tensored by $1 \oplus \eta \oplus \tau$ holds:

$$W(E/K, 1 \oplus \eta \oplus \tau) = (-1)^{\langle 1 \oplus \eta \oplus \tau, X_p(E/L) \rangle}.$$

The proof of Theorem 2.7 will occupy the rest of section 2.

We use the following notation:

- v a finite place of K
- K_v the completion of K at v
- $q_v = l_v^r$ the cardinality of the residue field of K_v
- $z \mid v$ a finite place of L
- $w \mid v$ a finite place of L^H (where H is a subgroup of $\text{Gal}(L/K) = D_{2p}$)
- $\delta = \text{ord}_v$ (the minimal discriminant of E/K_v)
- $\delta_H = \text{ord}_w$ (the minimal discriminant of $E/(L^H)_w$)
- e_H the ramification index of $(L^H)_w/K_v$
- f_H the residue degree of $(L^H)_w/K_v$
- ω_{E/K_v}^0 a minimal invariant differential of E/K_v
- $C_w(E/L^H) = c_w(E/L^H)\omega(H)$,

$$\text{where } \begin{cases} c_w(E/L^H) = \text{local Tamagawa factor of } E/L^H \\ \omega(H) = \left| \frac{\omega_{E/K_v}^0}{\omega_{E/(L^H)_w}^0} \right|_{(L^H)_w} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, if $l_v > 3$ then:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\omega_{E/K_v}^0}{\omega_{E/(L^H)_w}^0} \right|_{(L^H)_w} &= q^{\frac{\delta \cdot e_H - \delta_H}{12} f_H} \\ &= q^{\left\lfloor \frac{\delta \cdot e_H}{12} \right\rfloor f_H} \text{ (in the case of potentially good reduction)} \end{aligned}$$

For D_{2p} , there is the following equality: $\text{Ind}_{\{1\}}^{D_{2p}} 1 - 2 \cdot \text{Ind}_{D_2}^{D_{2p}} 1 - \text{Ind}_{C_p}^{D_{2p}} 1 + 2 \cdot 1 = 0$ of virtual representations of G , this gives the G -relation $\Theta : \{1\} - 2D_2 - C_p + 2G$ in the sense of [4] (Def 2.1 p.11).

We recall two definitions in our setting (i.e. with $\Theta : \{1\} - 2D_2 - C_p + 2D_{2p}$), for general definitions see [4].

Definition 2.8 ([4], Def.2.13 p.14): *Let ρ be a self-dual $\mathbb{Q}_p[G]$ -representation. Pick a G -invariant non-degenerate $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -linear pairing $\langle, \rangle$ on ρ and set $C_\Theta(\rho) = \det(\langle, \rangle | \rho^{\{1\}}) \det(\frac{1}{2} \langle, \rangle | \rho^{D_2})^{-2} \det(\frac{1}{p} \langle, \rangle | \rho^{C_p})^{-1} \det(\frac{1}{2p} \langle, \rangle | \rho^{D_{2p}})^2$. As an element of $\mathbb{Q}_p^*/\mathbb{Q}_p^{*2}$, this does not depend on the choice of the pairing.*

Definition 2.9 ([4], Def.2.50 p.23) We define:

$$T_{\Theta,p} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sigma \text{ a self-dual } \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}[G]\text{-} \\ \text{representation} \end{array} \mid \begin{array}{l} \langle \sigma, \rho \rangle \equiv \text{ord}_p C_{\Theta}(\rho) \pmod{2} \\ \forall \rho \text{ a self-dual } \mathbb{Q}_p[G]\text{-representation} \end{array} \right\}$$

Following the approach of the Dokchitser brothers, we have the following theorem

Theorem 2.10 (Theorem 1.14 of [4]). Let L/K be a Galois extension of number fields with Galois group $G = D_{2p}$, where $p > 2$ is a prime number. Let $\Theta : \{1\} - 2D_2 - C_p + 2D_{2p}$. For every elliptic curve E/K , the $\mathbb{Q}_p[G]$ -representation $X_p(E/L)$ is self-dual, and

$$\forall \sigma \in T_{\Theta,p}, \quad (-1)^{\langle \sigma, X_p(E/L) \rangle} = (-1)^{\text{ord}_p(C)},$$

where $C = \prod_{v \mid \infty} C_v$ with $C_v = C_v(\{1\})C_v(D_2)^{-2}C_v(C_p)^{-1}C_v(G)^2$

and $C_v(H) = \prod_{\substack{w \mid v \\ w \text{ places of } L^H}} C_w(E/L^H).$

Now, since $1 \oplus \eta \oplus \tau \in T_{\Theta,p}$ (see [4], example 2.53 p.24), we only need to prove that :

$$(1) \quad \frac{W(E/K, \tau)}{W(E/K, 1 \oplus \eta)} = (-1)^{\text{ord}_p(C)}.$$

Furthermore, since we are only interested in the parity of $\text{ord}_p(C)$, we do not have to determine $C_v(D_2)$ and $C_v(G)$, because these terms only bring an even contribution (since they appear with an even exponent).

Both sides of (1) are of local nature.

As $W(E/K, \tau) = \prod_v W(E/K_v, \tau_v)$, where $\sigma_v := \text{res}_{\text{Gal}(L_v/K_v)} \sigma$, all we need to do is to prove the following local equality:

$$(2) \quad \frac{W(E/K_v, \tau_v)}{W(E/K_v, (1 \oplus \eta)_v)} = (-1)^{\text{ord}_p(C_v)},$$

for each finite place v of K ($v \mid \infty$ do not contribute, since $p \neq 2$).

Denote by $G_v := \text{Gal}(L_v/K_v)$ the decomposition group of v . The proof of Theorem 2.7 split in several cases:

- $G_v = \{1\}$ (there are $2p$ places above v in L) see section 2.3.2
- $G_v = D_2$ (there are p places above v in L) see section 2.3.3
- $G_v = C_p$ (there are 2 places above v in L) see section 2.3.2
- $G_v = D_{2p}$ (there is a unique place above v in L) see section 2.3.4

We first recall a few facts about the local Tamagawa factors of elliptic curves.

2.3.1 Local Tamagawa factors of elliptic curves

The assumptions and notation from above are in force.

The local Tamagawa factor at v , $c(E/K_v) = \#(E(K_v)/E^0(K_v))$, (where $E^0(K_v) = \{\text{Points of non-singular reduction}\}$) is determined by Tate's algorithm (see [14] IV §9):

$$c(E/K_v) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } E \text{ has good reduction at } v \\ 1, 2, 3 \text{ or } 4 & \text{if } E \text{ has additive reduction at } v \\ n & \text{if } E \text{ has split multiplicative reduction} \\ & \text{of type } I_n \text{ at } v \\ 1 \text{ or } 2 & \text{if } E \text{ has non-split multiplicative reduction} \\ & \text{of type } I_n \text{ at } v \end{cases}$$

If E acquires semi-stable reduction over L_z , then:

1. If E has split multiplicative reduction of type I_n over K_v , then:

$$c(E/(L^H)_w) = n.e_H.$$

2. If E has non-split multiplicative reduction of type I_n over K_v , then:

$$c(E/(L^H)_w) = \begin{cases} n.e_H & \text{if } E \text{ has split multiplicative reduction} \\ & \text{over } (L^H)_w \\ 1 \text{ or } 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

3. If E has potentially good reduction, then $c(E/(L^H)_w) = 1, 2, 3 \text{ or } 4$.

4. If E has additive and potentially multiplicative reduction then:

$$c(E/(L^H)_w) = \begin{cases} n.e_H & \text{if } E \text{ has split multiplicative reduction} \\ & \text{of type } I_n \text{ over } (L^H)_w \text{ and } l_v \neq 2. \\ 1, 2, 3 \text{ or } 4 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The following few remarks will be used in the subsequent computations.

Remark 2.11 If w_1 and w_2 are two places of L above the same v , then:

$$c_{w_1}(E/L) = c_{w_2}(E/L).$$

In particular:

$$\begin{cases} C_v(\{1\}) = C_w(E/L)^r \\ C_v(C_p) = C_{w'}(E/L^{C_p})^{r'}, \end{cases}$$

where r = the number of places w of L such that $w \mid v$ and r' = the number of places w' of L^{C_p} such that $w' \mid v$.

Remark 2.12 If E/K has potentially good reduction at v , then:

$$\forall w \text{ (resp. } w') \text{ place of } L \text{ (of } L^{C_p}), c_w(E/L) \text{ (} c_{w'}(E/L^{C_p}) \text{)} \in \{1, \dots, 4\},$$

$$\text{and therefore } \text{ord}_p(c_v) = 0 \text{ and } (-1)^{\text{ord}_p(c_v)} = (-1)^{\text{ord}_p\left(\frac{w(\{1\})}{w(C_p)}\right)}.$$

Remark 2.13 If the reduction of E/K at v is semi-stable, then $\forall H$ subgroup of D_{2p} , $\delta_H = \delta.e_H$ and therefore $\omega(H) = 1$ and $(-1)^{\text{ord}_p(C_v)} = (-1)^{\text{ord}_p(c_v)}$.

Remark 2.14 If $v \nmid p$ (i.e. $p \neq l_v$, p is fixed, l_v is variable), then $\text{ord}_p(\omega(H)) = 0$ and $(-1)^{\text{ord}_p(C_v)} = (-1)^{\text{ord}_p(c_v)}$.

Remark 2.15 By the previous two remarks, if E/K has good reduction at v , then: $(-1)^{\text{ord}_p(C_v)} = 1$.

As $\frac{W(E/K_v, \tau_v)}{W(E/K_v, (1 \oplus \eta)_v)} = \frac{\det \tau_v(-1)}{\det(1 \oplus \eta)_v(-1)} = 1$ in the case of good reduction, we have the desired equality (2) in the case of good reduction at v .

Remark 2.16 From 2.12 and 2.14 we deduce that the only case that needs the calculation of both $\omega(H)$ and $c_w(E/L^H)$ is the case of additive potentially multiplicative reduction at $v \mid p$.

2.3.2 The cases $G_v = \{1\}$ and $G_v = C_p$

In these cases, $C_v(\{1\})$ and $C_v(C_p)$ are squares, so $\text{ord}_p(C_v) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.

- If $G_v = \{1\}$, $\text{res}_{\text{Gal}(L_z/K_v)} \tau = 1 \oplus 1 = (1 \oplus \eta)_v$, hence $\frac{W(E/K_v, \tau_v)}{W(E/K_v, (1 \oplus \eta)_v)} = 1$.
- If $G_v = C_p$, $(1 \oplus \eta)_v = 1 \oplus 1$ and $\tau_v = \chi \oplus \chi^*$, so

$$W(E/K_v, \tau_v) = 1 = W(E/K_v, (1 \oplus \eta)_v) \text{ (see [4] lemma A.1 p.47).}$$

As a result, in both cases we have: $\frac{W(E/K_v, \tau_v)}{W(E/K_v, (1 \oplus \eta)_v)} = 1 = (-1)^{\text{ord}_p(C_v)}$.

2.3.3 The case $G_v = D_2$

We have $\tau_v = (1 \oplus \eta)_v$, so $\frac{W(E/K_v, \tau_v)}{W(E/K_v, (1 \oplus \eta)_v)} = 1$.

On the other hand, in this case,

$$\begin{array}{c} L_w \\ \parallel \\ \forall w' \mid v \text{ place of } L^{C_p} \text{ and } \forall w' \mid w' \text{ place of } L, \quad (L^{C_p})_{w'} \\ \mid 2 \\ K_v \end{array}$$

In particular, $C_v(\{1\}) = C_v(C_p)^p$, therefore $C_v = C_v(C_p)^{p-1}$ and $\text{ord}_p(C_v) = 0$.

Finally, we get: $\frac{W(E/K_v, \tau_v)}{W(E/K_v, (1 \oplus \eta)_v)} = 1 = (-1)^{\text{ord}_p(C_v)}$.

2.3.4 The case $G_v = D_{2p}$

Denote by w (resp z) the unique place of L^{C_p} (resp L) above v .

In this case, there are two possibilities for the inertia group of G_v , $I_v = C_p$ or D_{2p} (because I_v is a normal subgroup of $G_v = D_{2p}$ and G_v/I_v is cyclic).

Furthermore, if $l_v \neq p$ then $I_v = C_p$:

- For $l_v \neq 2$ because the inertia group of a tamely ramified extension is cyclic.
- For $l_v = 2$ because the case $I_v = D_{2p}$, $I_v^{wild} = D_2$ (the wild inertia group) is impossible since I_v^{wild} is normal in I_v .

2.3.4.1 Computation of $(-1)^{ord_p(C_v)}$

1. If E/K_v has potentially multiplicative reduction:

- (a) If E/K_v acquires split multiplicative reduction of type I_n over L_z (and therefore over $(L^{C_p})_w$), then:

$$\begin{aligned} C_v(\{1\}) = c_w(E/L_z) &= e_{L_z/(L^{C_p})_w} \times c_{w'}(E/(L^{C_p})_w) \\ &= \frac{e_{\{1\}}}{e_{C_p}} \times c_v(E/K)C_v(C_p) \\ &= \frac{e_{\{1\}}}{e_{C_p}} \times C_v(C_p) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{but } \begin{cases} \text{if } I_v = C_p \text{ then } e_{\{1\}} = p \text{ and } e_{C_p} = 1 \\ \text{if } I_v = D_{2p} \text{ then } e_{\{1\}} = 2p \text{ and } e_{C_p} = 2 \end{cases}$$

In both cases we get: $C_v = p$ and $(-1)^{ord_p(C_v)} = -1$.

- (b) If E/K_v does not acquire split multiplicative reduction of type I_n over L_z (and therefore nor over $(L^{C_p})_w$), then:

$$c_v(\{1\}), c_v(C_p) \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \text{ and } ord_p \left(\frac{\omega(\{1\})}{\omega(C_p)} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

The second claim is a consequence of Remark 2.14 in the case $l_v \neq p$. In the case $l_v = p$, we have to distinguish two cases:

- i. If E/K_v acquires non-split multiplicative reduction of type I_n over L_z (and therefore over $(L^{C_p})_w$), then $\delta_{\{1\}} = \delta_{C_p}$.

$$\text{Furthermore, } f_{C_p} = f_{\{1\}} = 1 \text{ or } 2 \text{ and } \frac{\omega(\{1\})}{\omega(C_p)} = q^{\delta f(e_{\{1\}} - e_{C_p})},$$

$$\text{so } ord_p \left(\frac{\omega(\{1\})}{\omega(C_p)} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ (because } p-1 \mid (e_{\{1\}} - e_{C_p})).$$

- ii. If $E/K_v, E/(L^{C_p})_w$ and E/L_z have additive reduction (of type I_n^*):

- If $I_v = C_p$, then $f_{C_p} = f_{\{1\}} = 2$ and the result follows.
- if $I_v = D_{2p}$, since $p \geq 5$, E becomes of type I_{2n}^* over $(L^{C_p})_w$ and I_{2pn}^* over L_z and we get: $ord_p(\omega(\{1\})) = ord_p(\omega(C_p)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.

To sum up, in the case of potentially multiplicative reduction:

$$(-1)^{ord_p(C_v)} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } E/(L^{C_p}) \text{ has split multiplicative reduction} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2. If E/K_v has potentially good reduction, then:

- (a) If $I_v = C_p$ (i.e. $e_{\{1\}} = p$ and $e_{C_p} = 1$) :
 We get: $f_{\{1\}} = f_{C_p} = 2$ so $\text{ord}_p(\omega(C_p)) \equiv \text{ord}_p(\omega(\{1\})) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$
 and therefore $(-1)^{\text{ord}_p(C_v)} = 1$ (see Remark 2.12).
- (b) If $I_v = D_{2p}$ (i.e. $e_{\{1\}} = 2p$, $e_{C_p} = 2$ and $l_v = p$) :

$$\text{We get: } \frac{C_v(\{1\})}{C_v(C_p)} = \frac{\omega(\{1\})}{\omega(C_p)} = q_v^{\left\lfloor \frac{\delta \cdot e_{\{1\}}}{12} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{\delta \cdot e_{C_p}}{12} \right\rfloor} = q_v^{\left\lfloor \frac{\delta \cdot 2p}{12} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{\delta \cdot 2}{12} \right\rfloor}.$$

- i. If q_v is an even power of p , then

$$(-1)^{\text{ord}_p(C_v)} = (-1)^{\text{ord}_p\left(\frac{\omega(\{1\})}{\omega(C_p)}\right)} = 1.$$

- ii. If q_v is an odd power of p :

A computation of $\left\lfloor \frac{\delta \cdot 2p}{12} \right\rfloor$ and $\left\lfloor \frac{\delta \cdot 2}{12} \right\rfloor$ depending on p modulo 12 gives the following table:

Table of values of $(-1)^{\text{ord}_p(C_v)}$ depending on the Kodaira symbol of the curve (and the value of $\mathfrak{e} = \frac{12}{\text{pgcd}(\delta, 12)}$) and $p \pmod{12}$:

$p \pmod{12}$	1	5	7	11
$II, II^* (\mathfrak{e} = 6)$	1	-1	1	-1
$III, III^* (\mathfrak{e} = 4)$	1	1	-1	-1
$IV, IV^* (\mathfrak{e} = 3)$	1	-1	1	-1
$I_o^* (\mathfrak{e} = 2)$	1	1	1	1

In relation to the above table it may be useful to recall the following fact: if the residue characteristic of K_v is > 3 , then we have the following correspondence between $\mathfrak{e} = \frac{12}{\text{pgcd}(\delta, 12)}$, the valuation of the minimal discriminant δ and the Kodaira symbols:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{e} = 1 &\Leftrightarrow \delta = 0 &&\Leftrightarrow E \text{ is of type } I_0 \\ \mathfrak{e} = 2 &\Leftrightarrow \delta = 6 &&\Leftrightarrow E \text{ is of type } I_0^* \\ \mathfrak{e} = 3 &\Leftrightarrow \delta = 4 \text{ or } 8 &&\Leftrightarrow E \text{ is of type } IV \text{ or } IV^* \\ \mathfrak{e} = 4 &\Leftrightarrow \delta = 3 \text{ or } 9 &&\Leftrightarrow E \text{ is of type } III \text{ or } III^* \\ \mathfrak{e} = 6 &\Leftrightarrow \delta = 2 \text{ or } 10 &&\Leftrightarrow E \text{ is of type } II \text{ or } II^*. \end{aligned}$$

2.3.4.2 Computation of $\frac{W(E/K_v, \tau_v)}{W(E/K_v, (1 \oplus \eta)_v)}$

1. The case of potentially multiplicative reduction:

We have an explicit formula of Rohrlich (see [10] Th.2 (ii) p.329):

$$W(E/K_v, \sigma) = \det \sigma(-1) \chi(-1)^{\dim \sigma} (-1)^{\langle \chi, \sigma \rangle},$$

where χ is the character of K_v^* associated to the extension $K_v(\sqrt{-c_6})$ of K_v (c_6 is the classical factor, see [13] p.46).

Since $\dim \tau_v = \dim 1 \oplus \eta = 2$, $\det(\tau_v) = \det(1 \oplus \eta)$ and $\langle \chi, \tau_v \rangle = 0$, we get:

$$\frac{W(E/K_v, \tau_v)}{W(E/K_v, (1 \oplus \eta)_v)} = \frac{(-1)^{\langle \chi, \tau_v \rangle}}{(-1)^{\langle \chi, (1 \oplus \eta)_v \rangle}} = \frac{1}{(-1)^{\langle \chi, (1 \oplus \eta)_v \rangle}} = (-1)^{\langle \chi, (1 \oplus \eta)_v \rangle}.$$

- (a) If the reduction of E/K_v is split multiplicative (i.e. $\chi = 1$):
Then $(-1)^{\langle \chi, (1 \oplus \eta)_v \rangle} = -1$.
- (b) If the reduction of E/K_v is non-split multiplicative (i.e. χ is an unramified quadratic character):
- i. If E acquires split multiplicative reduction over L_z (and therefore over $(L^{C_p})_w$), then $\eta_v = \chi$, hence $(-1)^{\langle \chi, (1 \oplus \eta)_v \rangle} = -1$.
 - ii. If E acquires non-split multiplicative reduction over L_z (and therefore over $(L^{C_p})_w$), then $\eta_v \neq \chi$, hence $(-1)^{\langle \chi, (1 \oplus \eta)_v \rangle} = 1$.
- (c) If the reduction of E/K_v is additive (i.e. χ is a ramified quadratic character)
- i. If E acquires split multiplicative reduction over L_z (and therefore over $(L^{C_p})_w$), then $\eta_v = \chi$, hence $(-1)^{\langle \chi, (1 \oplus \eta)_v \rangle} = -1$.
 - ii. If E acquires non-split multiplicative reduction over L_z (and therefore over $(L^{C_p})_w$), then $\eta_v \neq \chi$, hence $(-1)^{\langle \chi, (1 \oplus \eta)_v \rangle} = 1$.

To sum up, in the case of potentially multiplicative reduction:

$$\frac{W(E/K_v, \tau_v)}{W(E/K_v, (1 \oplus \eta)_v)} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } E/(L^{C_p}) \text{ has split multiplicative reduction} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$= (-1)^{\text{ord}_p(C_v)}, \text{ by 2.3.4.1.1}$$

2. The case of potentially good reduction:

Here we have to distinguish the cases $l_v = p$ and $l_v \neq p$.

(a) The case $l_v = p$.

We have again an explicit formula of Rohrlich, since $p \geq 5$ (see [10], Th.2 (iii) p.329):

We use the following notation:

- $q = p^r$ the cardinality of the residue field residue degree of K_v

- $\mathfrak{e} = \frac{12}{\text{pgcd}(\delta, 12)}$

- $\epsilon = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r \text{ is even or } \mathfrak{e} = 1 \\ \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) & \text{if } r \text{ is odd and } \mathfrak{e} = 2 \text{ or } 6 \\ \left(\frac{-3}{p}\right) & \text{if } r \text{ is odd and } \mathfrak{e} = 3 \\ \left(\frac{-2}{p}\right) & \text{if } r \text{ is odd and } \mathfrak{e} = 4. \end{cases}$

Then $\forall \sigma$ a self-dual representation of $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}_v/K_v)$ with finite image:

$$W(E/K_v, \sigma) = \begin{cases} \alpha(\sigma, \epsilon) & \text{if } q \equiv 1[\mathfrak{e}] \\ \alpha(\sigma, \epsilon)(-1)^{\langle 1 + \eta_{nr} + \hat{\sigma}_\epsilon, \sigma \rangle} & \text{if } q \equiv -1[\mathfrak{e}] \\ & \text{and } \mathfrak{e} = 3, 4, 6, \end{cases}$$

where η_{nr} is the unramified quadratic character, $\hat{\sigma}_e$ is an irreducible representation of degree 2 of $D_{2\mathfrak{e}}$ and $\alpha(\sigma, \epsilon) := (\det \sigma)(-1)\epsilon^{\dim \sigma}$. Since $\dim \tau_v = \dim (1 \oplus \eta)_v = 2$ and $\det \tau_v = \det (1 \oplus \eta)_v$, $\alpha((1 \oplus \eta)_v, \epsilon) = \alpha(\tau_v, \epsilon)$ and we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{W(E/K_v, \tau_v)}{W(E/K_v, (1 \oplus \eta)_v)} &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q \equiv 1[\mathfrak{e}] \\ (-1)^{\langle 1 + \eta_{nr} + \hat{\sigma}_e, 1 + \eta_v + \tau_v \rangle} & \text{if } q \equiv -1[\mathfrak{e}] \\ & \text{and } \mathfrak{e} = 3, 4, 6, \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q \equiv 1[\mathfrak{e}] \\ (-1)^{\langle 1 + \eta_{nr}, 1 + \eta_v \rangle} & \text{if } q \equiv -1[\mathfrak{e}] \\ & \text{and } \mathfrak{e} = 3, 4, 6, \end{cases} \\ &(\langle \hat{\sigma}_e, \tau_v \rangle = 0 \text{ since } \mathfrak{e} = 3, 4, 6 \text{ and } p \geq 5). \end{aligned}$$

i. If r is even, then $q \equiv 1[\mathfrak{e}] \forall \mathfrak{e} \in \{2, 3, 4, 6\}$ and therefore

$$\frac{W(E/K_v, \tau_v)}{W(E/K_v, (1 \oplus \eta)_v)} = 1 = (-1)^{\text{ord}_p(C_v)},$$

by 2.b.i (in section 2.3.4.1).

ii. If r is odd, then $q \equiv 1[\mathfrak{e}] \iff p \equiv 1[\mathfrak{e}]$ and:

$$\frac{W(E/K_v, \tau_v)}{W(E/K_v, (1 \oplus \eta)_v)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q \equiv 1[\mathfrak{e}] \\ (-1)^{\langle 1 + \eta_{nr}, 1 + \eta_v \rangle} & \text{if } q \equiv -1[\mathfrak{e}] \text{ and } \mathfrak{e} = 3, 4, 6. \end{cases}$$

A. If $I_v = C_p$, then $\eta_{nr} = \eta_v$ and $\frac{W(E/K_v, \tau_v)}{W(E/K_v, (1 \oplus \eta)_v)} = 1$.

B. If $I_v = D_{2p}$, then $\eta_{nr} \neq \eta_v$ and:

$$\frac{W(E/K_v, \tau_v)}{W(E/K_v, (1 \oplus \eta)_v)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q \equiv 1[\mathfrak{e}] \\ -1 & \text{if } q \equiv -1[\mathfrak{e}] \text{ and } \mathfrak{e} = 3, 4, 6. \end{cases}$$

In both cases, we obtain for the values of $\frac{W(E/K_v, \tau_v)}{W(E/K_v, (1 \oplus \eta)_v)}$ exactly the same table as for the values of $(-1)^{\text{ord}_p(C_v)}$, depending on p modulo 12:

Table of values of $\frac{W(E/K_v, \tau_v)}{W(E/K_v, (1 \oplus \eta)_v)}$ depending on the Kodaira symbol of the curve (and the value of $\mathfrak{e} = \frac{12}{\text{pgcd}(\delta, 12)}$) and $p \bmod 12$:

$p \bmod 12$	1	5	7	11
$II, II^* (\mathfrak{e} = 6)$	1	-1	1	-1
$III, III^* (\mathfrak{e} = 4)$	1	1	-1	-1
$IV, IV^* (\mathfrak{e} = 3)$	1	-1	1	-1
$I_o^* (\mathfrak{e} = 2)$	1	1	1	1

(b) The case $l_v \neq p$:

In this case, the explicit formula of Rohrlich cannot be used, since l_v can be 2 or 3.

Let σ be a representation $\sigma : \text{Gal}(\bar{K}_v/K_v) \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_\sigma)$ with finite image; let $\sigma'_{E/K_v} : \text{WD}(\bar{K}_v/K_v) \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ be the representation of the Weil-Deligne group associated to the elliptic curve given by $(\sigma_{E/K_v}, N) = (\sigma_{E/K_v}, 0)$ (because the reduction is potentially good), therefore this is simply a representation of the Weil group $W(\bar{K}_v/K_v)$ (because $N = 0$) and

$$\sigma'_{E/K_v} \otimes \sigma = \sigma_{E/K_v} \otimes \sigma : W(\bar{K}_v/K_v) \rightarrow \text{GL}(W),$$

where $W = V \otimes V_\sigma$, is also a representation of the Weil group.

We first recall the link between ε -factors and root numbers:

$$W(E/K_v, \sigma) = \frac{\varepsilon(\sigma_{E/K_v} \otimes \sigma, \psi, dx)}{|\varepsilon(\sigma_{E/K_v} \otimes \sigma, \psi, dx)|} = \varepsilon(\sigma'_{E/K_v} \otimes \sigma, \psi, dx_\psi),$$

where dx is any Haar measure, ψ is any additive character of K_v and dx_ψ the self-dual Haar measure with respect to ψ on K_v .

Here, we choose an additive character ψ for which the Haar measure dx_ψ takes values (on open compact subsets of K_v) in $\mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_p]$, where ζ_p is a primitive p -th root of unity. For example, if the conductor of ψ is trivial, then the values of dx_ψ lie in $l_v^\mathbb{Z} \cup \{0\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_p]$.

In one of his articles ([2] p.548), Deligne gives a description of the ε -factors in terms of ε_0 -factors; in our settings this gives:

$$\varepsilon(\sigma_{E/K_v} \otimes \sigma, \psi, dx_\psi) = \varepsilon_0(\sigma_{E/K_v} \otimes \sigma, \psi, dx_\psi) \det(-\nu(\phi) \mid W^{I(v)}),$$

where ϕ is the geometric Frobenius at v and $I(v) = \text{Gal}(\bar{K}_v/K_v^{ur})$. Recall that, since $l_v \neq p$, the inertia group of D_{2p} is $I_v = C_p$.

- i. If E has additive reduction, denote by F the smallest Galois extension of K_v^{ur} such that E has good reduction over F and set $\Phi = \text{Gal}(F/K_v^{ur})$; then the restriction of σ_{E/K_v} to $I(v)$ factors through Φ .

It is known that:

- For $l_v \geq 5$, Φ is cyclic of order $\mathfrak{e} = \frac{12}{\text{pgcd}(\delta, 12)}$ (dividing 12).
- For $l_v = 3$, $|\Phi| \in \{2, 3, 4, 6, 12\}$.
- For $l_v = 2$, $|\Phi| \in \{2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 24\}$.

For a more precise description of Φ , see, for example, [1] or [6].

The representation $\sigma_{E/K} \otimes \sigma$ ($\sigma = \tau_v$ or $(1 \oplus \eta)_v$) restricted to $I(v)$ factors through a quotient H of $I(v)$ which admits Φ and C_p as quotients.

We have:

$$(V \otimes V_\sigma)^{I(v)} = (V \otimes V_\sigma)^H = \text{Hom}_H(V^*, V_\sigma) = \text{Hom}((V^\Phi)^*, V_\sigma^{C_p})$$

because H acts on V (resp. on V_σ) through its quotient Φ (resp. C_p) and $|\Phi|$ is prime to p .
Futhermore, $V^H = V^\Phi = \{0\}$ since E has additive reduction, hence

$$(V \otimes V_\sigma)^{I(v)} = 0, \quad \det \left(- \left(\sigma'_{E/K_v} \otimes \sigma \right) (\phi) \mid (V \otimes V_\sigma)^{I(v)} \right) = 1$$

and

$$(3) \quad W(E/K_v, \sigma) = \varepsilon_0(\sigma_{E/K_v} \otimes \sigma, \psi, dx_\psi) \quad (\sigma = \tau_v, (1 \oplus \eta)_v).$$

Deligne also gives congruence results for these ε_0 ([2] p.556-557).

Since $\chi \equiv 1 \pmod{1 - \zeta_p}$, we deduce $I(\chi) \equiv I(1) \pmod{1 - \zeta_p}$ and $\sigma'_{E/K_v} \otimes \tau_v \equiv \sigma'_{E/K_v} \otimes (1 \oplus \eta)_v \pmod{1 - \zeta_p}$. So according to Deligne, $\varepsilon_0(\sigma'_{E/K_v} \otimes \tau_v, \psi, dx_\psi)$ and $\varepsilon_0(\sigma'_{E/K_v} \otimes (1 \oplus \eta)_v, \psi, dx_\psi)$ are two elements of $\{\pm 1\}$ (by (3)), which are congruent modulo $(1 - \zeta_p)$, hence they are equal. As a result,

$$\frac{W(E/K_v, \tau_v)}{W(E/K_v, (1 \oplus \eta)_v)} = 1.$$

ii. If E has good reduction, then σ_{E/K_v} is unramified.

Then we have:

$\varepsilon(\sigma_{E/K_v} \otimes \tau_v, \psi, dx) = \varepsilon(\tau_v, \psi, dx)^{\dim \sigma_{E/K_v}} \det \sigma_{E/K_v} (\Phi^{m(\tau_v, \psi)})$,
where $m(\tau_v, \psi) \in \mathbb{N}$ depends on conductors of both τ_v and ψ ,
and the dimension of τ_v (see [15] 3.4.6 p.15), therefore:

$$W(E/K_v, \tau_v) = W(\sigma_{E/K_v} \otimes \tau_v) = \frac{\varepsilon(\sigma_{E/K_v} \otimes \tau_v, \psi, dx)}{|\varepsilon(\sigma_{E/K_v} \otimes \tau_v, \psi, dx)|} = 1,$$

since $\det \sigma_{E/K_v} = 1$, $W(\tau_v) = \frac{\varepsilon(\tau_v, \psi, dx)}{|\varepsilon(\tau_v, \psi, dx)|} = \pm 1$ (because $\det \tau_v = 1$, see Proposition p.145 [9]) and $\dim \sigma_{E/K_v} = 2$.

Similarly, $W(E/K_v, (1 \oplus \eta)_v) = 1$, so $\frac{W(E/K_v, \tau_v)}{W(E/K_v, (1 \oplus \eta)_v)} = 1$.

In both cases i) and ii) we also have $(-1)^{\text{ord}_p(C_v)} = 1$ by 2.a. (in section 2.3.4.1).

To sum up, we have, for each finite prime v of K ,

$$\frac{W(E/K_v, \tau_v)}{W(E/K_v, (1 \oplus \eta)_v)} = (-1)^{\text{ord}_p(C_v)}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.7. ■

Remark 2.17 *This proof can be adjusted to work in the case $\text{Gal}(L/K) \simeq D_{2p^n}$, the computations are almost the same. The idea to reduce the proof to the case of a D_{2p} -extension, using Galois invariance of Rohrlich, was suggested to me by Tim Dokchitser.*

3 Appendix

The purpose of this appendix is to make a small improvement on Theorem 6.7 of [5]. The interest of this improvement is that Proposition 6.12 of [5] (which is the same statement as Theorem 2.1 for $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$) will no longer rely on the "truly painful case of additive reduction" anymore (see [4] p.30). In fact, we use the passage to the global case to avoid all places of additive reduction, not just those above 2 and 3. Since we have proved the result for $p \geq 5$ (Theorem 2.1) without using any global parity results at all, for us this is of interest essentially in the case $p = 3$.

We start by recalling the definition of an elliptic curve being *close to* another one:

Proposition 3.1 *Let $\mathcal{E} : y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$ be an elliptic curve over a non archimedean local field $\mathcal{K}$ (with valuation v and residue characteristic p) and $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{K}$ a finite Galois extension. There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that every elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}' : y^2 + a'_1xy + a'_3y = x^3 + a'_2x^2 + a'_4x + a'_6$ over $\mathcal{K}$ satisfying:*

$$\forall i \mid a'_i - a_i|_v < \varepsilon,$$

the curves $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}'$ have the same conductor, valuation of the minimal discriminant, local Tamagawa factors, $C(E/\mathcal{F}', \frac{dx}{2y+a_1x+a_3})$, root numbers and the Tate module as a $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathcal{K}}/\mathcal{K})$ -module for $l \neq p$, in all intermediate fields $\mathcal{F}'$ of $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{K}$. We will say that $\mathcal{E}'$ is close to $\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{K}$.

Proof. This is Proposition 3.3 of [5]. ■

We now state the minor improvement of Theorem 6.7 of [5]:

Theorem 3.2 *Let $\mathcal{K}$ a local non archimedean field of characteristic 0 and $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{K}$ a finite Galois extension. Let $F/\mathcal{K}$ be a Galois extension of totally real fields and v_0 a place of $\mathcal{K}$ such that:*

- v_0 admits a unique place $\bar{v}_0$ of F above it
- $K_{v_0} \simeq \mathcal{K}$ and $F_{\bar{v}_0} \simeq \mathcal{F}$.

Such an extension exists (see Lemma 3.1 of [5]).

Let $\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{K}$ be an elliptic curve with additive reduction.

Then there exists an elliptic curve E/K such that:

- E has semi-stable reduction for all $w \neq v_0$
- $j(E)$ is not an integer (i.e. $j(E) \notin \mathcal{O}_K$)
- E/K_{v_0} is close to $\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{K}$.

Proof. We first choose an elliptic curve E/K such that E/K_{v_0} is close to $\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{K}$ (this is possible, by Proposition 3.1).

Now the goal is to remove all places of additive reduction by changing E/K to an elliptic curve satisfying the three conditions of the theorem.

Let $E : y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$ with $a_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$.

If we want a place not to be of additive reduction we have to impose one of the two following conditions:

- The valuation $w(\Delta)$ is zero (in this case w is of good reduction).
- The valuation $w(c_4)$ is zero (in this case w is of good or multiplicative reduction depending on $w(\Delta) = 0$ or > 0).

Let $v \neq v_0$ be a place of K not above 2.

To get the condition " $j(E)$ is not an integer" it is sufficient to make v a multiplicative place (v is multiplicative $\Leftrightarrow v(j(E)) < 0$).

Step 1: Make semi-stable all places $w \neq v_0$ above 2

Denote by $v_{2,1}, \dots, v_{2,r}$ these places.

In this case: $[v_{2,i}(a_1) = 0 \Rightarrow v_{2,i}(c_4) = 0 \text{ (} c_4 = (a_1^2 + 4a_2)^2 - 24a_1a_3 - 48a_4 \text{)}]$.

Let $\mathfrak{p}_0$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{2,i}$ be the primes ideals associated to v_0 and $v_{2,i}$.

By the Chinese remainder theorem, there exists $d_1 \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that:

- $d_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_0^n}$ (i.e. $v_0(d_1) \geq n$).
- $d_1 \equiv 1 - a_1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_{2,i}} \forall i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ (i.e. $v_{2,i}(a_1 + d_1) = 0$).
- $d_1 \equiv -a_1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ ($\mathfrak{p}$ associated to $v \neq v_0$).

So, if we let $a'_1 = a_1 + d_1$ for n big enough we get the curve $y^2 + a'_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$ which is *close to* $\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{K}$, $v_{2,i}(a'_1) = v_{2,i}(a_1 + d_1) = 0 \forall i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ and $v(a'_1) > 0$.

Step 2: Make v semi-stable

By the Chinese remainder theorem, there exist $d_2, d_3, d_4 \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that:

- $d_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_0^n}$ (i.e. $v_0(d_2) \geq n$)
 $d_2 \equiv 1 - a_2 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ (so $v(a_2 + d_2) = 0$).
- $d_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_0^n}$ (i.e. $v_0(d_3) \geq n$)
 $d_3 \equiv -a_3 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ (so $v(a_3 + d_3) > 0$).
- $d_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_0^n}$ (i.e. $v_0(d_4) \geq n$)
 $d_4 \equiv -a_4 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ (so $v(a_4 + d_4) > 0$).

So, if we let $a'_i = a_i + d_i$, $i \in \{2, 3, 4\}$, for n big enough we get:

$E' : y^2 + a'_1xy + a'_3y = x^3 + a'_2x^2 + a'_4x + a_6$ is *close to* $\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{K}$ (Proposition 3.1).

Futhermore : • $c'_4 = (a_1'^2 + 4a_2')^2 - 24a_1'a_3' - 48a_4'$

- $v(a'_1) > 0$
- $v(a'_3) > 0$
- $v(a'_4) > 0$
- $v(a'_2) = 0$,

so $v(c'_4) = 0$.

The curve $E' : y^2 + a'_1xy + a'_3y = x^3 + a'_2x^2 + a'_4x + a_6$ is *close to* $\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{K}$, $\forall w \neq v_0$ above 2 $w(c'_4) > 0$, and $v(c'_4) = 0$. Since c'_4 does not depend on a_6 , we can modify a_6 to allow places $w \neq v_0$ such that $w(c'_4) > 0$ to become places of good reduction (since c'_4 will be unchanged, some places of good reduction can become of multiplicative reduction but not of additive reduction) and such that v is of multiplicative reduction ($v(j(E)) < 0$).

Step 3. Turn additive reduction places into good reduction ones and make v multiplicative.

Let $v_1, \dots, v_r, v_{r+1}, \dots, v_t$ be the places where $v_i(c'_4) > 0$, $v_i \neq v_0$ ($\neq v$ and not

above 2).

Above, $v_1, \dots, v_r$ are places of good reduction and $v_{r+1}, \dots, v_t$ places of additive reduction of the curve E' constructed in step 2.

Let b_2, b_4, b_6, b_8 and Δ be the following classical quantities associated to E' :

$$b_2 = a_1'^2 + 4a_2'$$

$$b_4 = 2a_4' + a_1'a_3'$$

$$b_6 = a_3'^2 + 4a_6'$$

$$b_8 = a_1'^2 a_6' + 4a_2' a_6' - a_1' a_3' a_4' + a_2' a_3'^2 - a_4'^2$$

$$\text{and } \Delta = -b_2^2 b_8 - 8b_4^3 - 27b_6^2 + 9b_2 b_4 b_6$$

$$= \alpha + \beta a_6 + 16a_6^2,$$

$$\text{where } \alpha = [-b_2^2(-a_1' a_3' a_4' + a_2' a_3'^2 - a_4'^2) - 8b_4^3 - 27a_3'^4 + 9b_2 b_4 a_3'^2]$$

$$\text{and } \beta = [-b_2^3 - 216a_3'^2 + 36b_2 b_4]$$

Let $\gamma = \beta + 32a_6$; we know that 16 is invertible mod $\mathfrak{p}_i \forall i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ (because $\mathfrak{p}_i$ is not above 2).

By the Chinese remainder theorem, there exists c such that:

- $c \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_0^n}$ (i.e. $v_0(c) \geq n$)
- $c \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_i} \forall i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ (i.e. $v_i(c) > 0$)
- $16c \equiv \alpha_i - \gamma \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_i} \forall i \in \{r+1, \dots, t\}$ (where $\alpha_i \not\equiv 0, \gamma \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_i}$)
(i.e. $\forall i \in \{r+1, \dots, t\}, v_i(\gamma + 16c) = 0$ and $v_i(c) = 0$)
- $c \equiv -a_6 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ (i.e. $v(a_6') > 0$).

Finally, if we let $a_6' = a_6 + c$ for n big enough, we get:

$$E'' : y^2 + a_1' xy + a_3' y = x^3 + a_2' x^2 + a_4' x + a_6'$$

and we see that with this choice:

- $v_1, \dots, v_t$ are all places of good reduction for E'' .
- v is a place of multiplicative reduction for E'' .

This completes the proof. ■

Acknowledgements: First of all, I would like to thank my advisor Jan Nekovář, for suggesting to me this topic, for his guidance along the work and his careful reading of the different versions of this paper. I would also like to thank Vladimir and Tim Dokchitser, the first one for his responses to my questions about their articles, the second one for his advice.

References

- [1] N.Billerey: Défaut de semi-stabilité des courbes elliptiques, preprint, <http://people.math.jussieu.fr/~billerey/>.
- [2] P.Deligne: Les constantes des équations fonctionnelles des fonctions L , Modular functions of one variable, II (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972), 501–97. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 349, Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [3] T. and V.Dokchitser: Self-duality of Selmer groups, Math. Proc. Cam. Phil. Soc. 146 (2009), 257–267.

- [4] T. and V.Dokchitser: Regulator constants and the parity conjecture, Arxiv: 0709.2852v3, to appear in Invent. Math.
- [5] T. and V.Dokchitser: Roots numbers and parity of ranks of elliptics curves, Arxiv: 0906.1815v1.
- [6] A.Kraus: On le défaut de semi-stabilité des courbes elliptiques à réduction additive, Manuscripta Math. 69 (1990), 353–380.
- [7] J.Nekovář: On the parity of ranks of Selmer groups III, Documenta Math. 12 (2007), 243–274.
- [8] J.Nekovář: On the parity of ranks of Selmer groups IV, Compositio Math. 145 (2009), 1351–1359 (with an appendix by J.-P. Wintenberger).
- [9] D.Rohrlich: Weil-Deligne group and elliptic curves.in: Elliptic curves and related topics, 125–157, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes 4, AMS, Providence, RI, 1994.
- [10] D.Rohrlich: Galois theory, elliptic curves and roots numbers, Comp. Math. 100, n°3 (1996), 311–349.
- [11] D.Rohrlich: Galois invariance of local root numbers, preprint, <http://math.bu.edu/people/rohrlich/>.
- [12] J.P.Serre: Représentations linéaires des groupes finis, Hermann.
- [13] J.H.Silverman: The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves, GTM 106, Springer-Verlag
- [14] J.H.Silverman: Advanced topics in the Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves, GTM 151, Springer-Verlag.
- [15] J.Tate: Number: Theoretic Background in: Automorphic forms, representations and L -functions, Part 2 (ed A.Borel and W.Casselman), 3–26, Proc. Symp. in Pure Math. 33 (AMS, Providence, RI, 1979).
- [16] J.P.Wintenberger: Potential modularity of elliptic curves over totally real fields, appendix to [8].